# **EXHIBIT B**

# **EXHIBIT B**

0	Case 3:19-cv-00410-MMD-CSD Document 9	3-2 Filed 05/26/22 Page 2 of 11
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE O'MARA LAW FIRM, P.C. David C. O'Mara, Esq. (NV BAR 08599) 311 E. Liberty Street Reno, NV 89501 Tel.: 775.323.1321 Fax:775.323.4082 david@omaralaw.net NEWMAN FERRARA, LLP Jeffrey M. Norton, Esq. (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> , Benjamin D. Baker, Esq. (Baker, Baker, Bak	e)
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
13 14	JOHN SOLAK, derivatively on behalf of RING ENERGY, INC.,	Case No. 3:19-cv-00410-MMD-CSD
15	Plaintiff,	
16	v.	NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF SETTLEMENT OF ACTION
17 18	LLOYD T ROCHFORD, KELLY HOFFMAN, DAVID A. Fowler, STANLEY M. MCCABE, ANTHONY B. PETRELLI and CLAYTON E. WOODRUM,	
19	Defendants.	
20	-and-	
21	RING ENERGY, INC., a Nevada Corporation	
22	Nominal Defendant.	
23		
24 25		
25		
20		
28		

C	Case 3:19-cv-00410-MMD-CSD Document 93-2 Filed 05/26/22 Page 3 of 11
1	TO: ALL CURRENT STOCKHOLDERS OF RING ENERGY, INC. (TRADING SYMBOL: REI)
3 4 5	PLEASE READ THIS NOTICE CAREFULLY AND IN ITS ENTIRETY. YOUR RIGHTS WILL BE AFFECTED BY THE LEGAL PROCEEDINGS IN THIS LITIGATION. IF THE COURT APPROVES THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT, YOU WILL BE FOREVER BARRED FROM CONTESTING THE FAIRNESS, REASONABLENESS AND ADEQUACY OF THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT, OR PURSUING THE RELEASED CLAIMS DEFINED HEREIN.
6 7 8	IF YOU DO NOT OBJECT TO THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT, OR THE AGREED-TO ATTORNEYS' FEE AND EXPENSE AMOUNT DESCRIBED IN THIS NOTICE, YOU ARE NOT OBLIGATED TO TAKE ANY ACTION.
9	I. WHY ARE YOU RECEIVING THIS NOTICE?
10	The purpose of this Notice is to inform you of (i) a lawsuit (the "Derivative Action") in the
11	United States District Court for the District of Nevada (the "Court") brought on behalf of Ring
12	Energy, Inc. ("Ring" or the "Company"); (ii) a proposal to settle the Derivative Action as provided
13	in a Stipulation of Compromise and Settlement which sets forth the terms and conditions of the
14	proposed settlement of this Action (the "Stipulation"); and (iii) your right, among other things, to
15	attend and participate in a hearing to be held on <b>August 9</b> , 2022 at $\underline{9:00}$ a.m. $\frac{1}{200}$ , a.m.
16 17	Bruce R. Thompson Federal Courthouse, 400 S. Virginia St., Reno, Nevada, 89501 or via Zoom
18	(the "Settlement Hearing"). This Notice describes the rights you may have under the Stipulation
19	and what steps you may, but are not required to, take concerning the proposed Settlement. If the
20	Court approves the Stipulation, the parties will ask the Court to approve an Order and Final
21	
22	Judgment (the "Final Judgment") that would end the Derivative Action.
23	II. <u>BACKGROUND TO THE DERIVATIVE ACTION AND SETTLEMENT</u>
24	THE FOLLOWING DESCRIPTION DOES NOT CONSTITUTE FINDINGS OF ANY COURT. IT IS BASED ON STATEMENTS OF THE PARTIES AND SHOULD NOT BE
25	UNDERSTOOD AS AN EXPRESSION OF ANY OPINION OF ANY COURT AS TO THE MERITS OF ANY OF THE CLAIMS OR DEFENSES RAISED BY ANY OF THE PARTIES.
26	Plaintiff John Solak ("Plaintiff" or "Mr. Solak") is a current stockholder of Ring. Nominal
27	Defendant Ring is a natural gas company that is incorporated in Nevada and headquartered in The
28	
	- 2 -

### Case 3:19-cv-00410-MMD-CSD Document 93-2 Filed 05/26/22 Page 4 of 11

1

2

3

4

5

6

Woodlands, Texas focused on the acquisition, exploration, and development of high-quality, oil and
liquids rich assets in the Permian Basin of Texas and New Mexico. Lloyd T. Rochford, Kelly
Hoffman, David Fowler, Stanley McCabe, Anthony B. Petrelli, Regina Roesener, and Clayton E.
Woodrum (collectively, the "Individual Defendants" and together with Ring, "Defendants") are all
current or former members of Ring's Board of Directors (the "Board").

On July 19, 2019, Plaintiff filed a shareholder derivative complaint (the "Complaint") in the 7 United States District Court for the District of Nevada, alleging, inter alia, that the Individual 8 Defendants breached their fiduciary duties to the Company, were unjustly enriched, and wasted the 9 10 Company's assets by compensating themselves at an excessive level in comparison to its peers in 11 years 2017 through 2020, and violated Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as 12 amended, by including false and/or misleading information related to director compensation in the 13 Company's proxy statements. Based on these allegations, Plaintiff alleged that certain directors and 14 officers of Ring breached their fiduciary duty, were unjustly enriched, wasted corporate assets, and 15 violated Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. On September 30, 2019, 16 17 Defendants moved to dismiss the Complaint, and the Defendants' motion was granted by the Court 18 on April 14, 2020.

19 On April 29, 2020, Plaintiff filed an amended shareholder derivative complaint (the 20 "Amended Complaint) alleging, inter alia, that Ring's policies and practices concerning non-21 employee director compensation resulted in the Board's non-employee members being compensated 22 at an excessive level in comparison to its peers in years 2017 through 2020, that Ring's non-23 employee director compensation had not been approved by the Company's shareholders, and that 24 25 the Company's proxy statements related to director compensation were false and/or misleading. 26 Specifically, the Complaint alleges that in fiscal years 2017, 2018, 2019, and 2020 Ring paid 27 excessive compensation to its non-employee directors relative to the compensation paid to non-28

- 3 -

### Case 3:19-cv-00410-MMD-CSD Document 93-2 Filed 05/26/22 Page 5 of 11

employee directors at Ring's alleged peer companies. Based on these allegations, Plaintiff alleged
that certain directors and officers of Ring breached their fiduciary duty, were unjustly enriched,
wasted corporate assets, and violated Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as
amended. The Individual Defendants deny all of the wrongdoing alleged in the Complaint, including
that the compensation paid to Ring's non-employee directors in fiscal years 2017, 2018, 2019, and
2020 was excessive.

On June 30, 2020, defendants moved to dismiss the Amended Complaint, and the 8 defendants' motion was granted by the Court on September 3, 2020. On September 11, 2020, Mr. 9 10 Solak filed a motion for reconsideration of the Court's dismissal of the Amended Complaint, which 11 the Court granted on December 18, 2020. Then, on February 18, 2021, Defendants filed an Answer 12 to the Amended Complaint. Between March 12, 2021 and February 2022, the parties engaged in 13 substantial discovery, including: (i) demanding and responding to numerous written discovery 14 requests; (ii) defendants' production of 3,724 documents; (iii) noticing and preparing for depositions 15 of all defendants; and (iv) briefing and arguing a motion to compel. Following numerous rounds of 16 17 negotiations between the parties, on March 1, 2022, the parties reached an agreement to settle all of 18 the claims in the Derivative Action upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in the 19 Stipulation (the "Settlement").

## THE COURT HAS NOT FINALLY DETERMINED THE MERITS OF PLAINTIFF'S CLAIMS OR THE DEFENSES THERETO. THIS NOTICE DOES NOT IMPLY THAT THERE HAS BEEN OR WOULD BE ANY FINDING OF VIOLATION OF THE LAW BY THE INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS OR THAT RECOVERY COULD BE HAD IN ANY AMOUNT IF THE ACTION WAS NOT SETTLED.

23

### III. WHAT ARE THE TERMS OF THE SETTLEMENT?

During the course of the Derivative Action, Plaintiff asserts that Ring implemented a host of corporate governance reforms, including: (i) reconstituting the Company's Board; (ii) hiring new officers; and (iii) changing the Company's corporate policies, practices, and disclosures, including: (a) updating the reported compensation in Ring's Director Compensation Tables; (b) reducing the

number of potentially conflicted and/or non-independent members of the Board; (c) adopting a "sayon-pay" advisory shareholder vote on executive compensation; and (d) awarding non-director grants 3 with lower reported values than those addressed in the Amended Complaint (together, the 4 "Corporate Governance Reforms").

5 6

1

2

#### IV. WHAT CLAIMS WILL THE SETTLEMENT RELEASE?

Upon the effective date of the Settlement, the Releasing Persons (as defined below) shall be 7 deemed to have, and by operation of the Final Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever settled, 8 released, discharged, extinguished, and dismissed with prejudice the Released Claims (as defined 9 below) against the Individual Defendants and each and all of the Released Persons (as defined 10 11 below); provided, however, that such release shall not affect any claims or impair or restrict the 12 rights of any Settling Party to enforce the terms of the Stipulation.

13

"Released Claims" means and includes any and all claims for relief or causes of action, 14 debts, demands, rights, liabilities, losses, and claims whatsoever, known or unknown, fixed or 15 contingent, accrued or unaccrued, liquidated or unliquidated, at law or in equity, matured or 16 unmatured, suspected or unsuspected, apparent or unapparent, and without regard to the subsequent 17 discovery of additional or different facts, that have been or could have been asserted by Plaintiff as 18 19 a stockholder of Ring, or any other Ring stockholder, or any other Person acting or purporting to act 20 derivatively on behalf of Ring against the Released Persons, in the Derivative Action or in any other 21 forum arising out of, or based upon, any of the allegations, transactions, facts, matters, events, 22 disclosures, non-disclosures, occurrences, representations, statements, acts or omissions, alleged or 23 referred to in the Complaint, including, without limitation, (i) any compensation Ring paid to its 24 non-employee directors from January 1, 2013, through the Effective Date, (ii) any non-employee 25 26 director compensation plan, policies, or guidelines in effect at Ring from January 1, 2013, through 27 the Effective Date, (iii) the disclosure of director compensation in the Company's proxy statements, 28

## Case 3:19-cv-00410-MMD-CSD Document 93-2 Filed 05/26/22 Page 7 of 11

and (iv) any decision of the Company's officers or directors related to the foregoing; provided,
 <u>however</u>, that it is understood that "Released Claims" and any release provided by this Settlement
 shall not include: (a) any claims to enforce the Settlement, and (b) any claims by Defendants or any
 other insured to enforce their rights under any contract or policy of insurance.

5 6

7

8

9

"Released Persons" means the Individual Defendants and their predecessors, successors, subsidiaries, affiliates, agents, attorneys, insurers, and each of their past or present officers, directors, and employees. "Released Persons" also includes Ring and all current and former officers, directors, or employees of Ring that could have been named in the Derivative Action.

10

### V. WHAT ARE THE REASONS FOR SETTLING THE ACTION?

11 Plaintiff's entry into the Stipulation and the Settlement is not intended to be and shall not be 12 construed as an admission or concession concerning the relative strength or merit of the claims 13 alleged in the Derivative Action. Plaintiff's Counsel has taken into account the uncertain outcome 14 and the risk of any litigation, especially in complex cases such as the Derivative Action, as well as 15 the difficulties and delays inherent in such litigation, and Plaintiff's Counsel is also mindful of the 16 inherent problems of proof and possible defenses to the claims alleged in such action. Based upon 17 18 Plaintiff's Counsel's evaluation, Plaintiff has determined that the Settlement is fair, reasonable, 19 adequate, and in the best interests of Ring and its stockholders and has agreed to settle the Derivative 20 Action upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth herein.

21

The Individual Defendants have denied, and continue to deny, any and all allegations of wrongdoing or liability asserted in the Derivative Action. The Individual Defendants have further asserted, and continue to assert, that at all relevant times, they acted in good faith and in a manner that they reasonably believed to be in the best interests of Ring and its stockholders in connection with the Company's compensation practices. Defendants are entering into the Stipulation and the

28

Settlement solely to eliminate the uncertainty, distraction, disruption, burden, risk, and expense of 2 further litigation.

3

1

#### **HOW WILL THE ATTORNEYS GET PAID?** VI.

4 After agreeing to the terms of the Settlement other than with respect to the amount of any 5 attorneys' fees and expenses to be paid to Plaintiff's Counsel, Plaintiff's Counsel and Defendants 6 separately negotiated the appropriate amount of attorneys' fees and expenses to be paid to Plaintiff's 7 Counsel. Plaintiff and Defendants did not discuss the appropriateness or amount of attorneys' fees 8 and expenses at any time prior to reaching agreement on the terms of the Settlement, and the Settling 9 Parties understood at all times that the Settlement was not contingent upon agreement or payment 10 11 of any attorneys' fees and expenses to Plaintiff's Counsel. In recognition of the terms of the 12 Settlement and the prosecution and settlement of the Derivative Action, and subject to Court 13 approval, Plaintiff's Counsel may apply to the Court for an award of attorneys' fees and expenses 14 for up to \$150,000 (the "Fee and Expense Amount"), which Defendants have agreed not to oppose. 15 The Fee and Expense Amount will be paid by Ring and/or its insurers. This Fee and Expense 16 Amount includes the fees and expenses incurred in connection with the prosecution and settlement 17 of the Derivative Action. Plaintiff's Counsel will not make an application for attorneys' fees or 18 19 expenses in any other jurisdiction. Except as otherwise provided in the Stipulation, each of the 20 Settling Parties shall bear his, her, or its own fees and costs.

21 22

#### WHEN WILL THE SETTLEMENT HEARING TAKE PLACE? VII.

The Court has scheduled a Settlement Hearing to be held on **August 9**, 2022 at **9:00** 23 a.m. /-p.m., in the Bruce R. Thompson Federal Courthouse, 400 S. Virginia St., Reno, Nevada, 24 89501. At the Settlement Hearing, the Court will consider whether the terms of the Settlement are 25 fair, reasonable, and adequate and thus should be finally approved, whether the Fee and Expense 26 27 Amount should be approved, and whether the Derivative Action should be dismissed with prejudice 28 by entry of the Final Judgment pursuant to the Stipulation. The Court will also hear and determine objections, if any, to the proposed Settlement and the Fee and Expense Amount and rule on such
other matters as the Court may deem appropriate. The Court may adjourn the Settlement Hearing
from time to time without further notice to anyone other than the Settling Parties and any Objectors
(as defined below). The Court reserves the right to approve the Stipulation at or after the Settlement
Hearing with such modifications as may be consented to by the Settling Parties to the Stipulation
and without further notice.

8

### VIII. DO I HAVE A RIGHT TO APPEAR AND OBJECT?

Any record or beneficial stockholder of Ring who objects to the Stipulation, the proposed 9 Final Judgment to be entered, and/or the Fee and Expense Amount who wishes to be heard 10 11 ("Objector"), may appear in person or by his, her, or its attorney at the Settlement Hearing and 12 present any evidence or argument that may be proper and relevant; provided, however, that no 13 Objector shall be heard or entitled to contest the approval of the terms and conditions of the 14 Settlement, or, if approved, the Final Judgment to be entered thereon, unless he, she, or it has, no 15 later than ten (10) calendar days before the Settlement Hearing (unless the Court in its discretion 16 shall thereafter otherwise direct, upon application of such person and for good cause shown), filed 17 18 with the Clerk of Court for the United States District Court for the District of Nevada, Bruce R. 19 Thompson Federal Courthouse, 400 S. Virginia St., Reno, Nevada, 89501, and served upon counsel 20listed below, the following: (i) proof of current ownership of Ring stock; (ii) a written notice of the 21 Objector's intention to appear that states the Objector's name, address, and telephone number and, 22 if represented, the Objector's counsel; (iii) a detailed statement of all of the grounds thereon and the 23 reasons for the Objector's desire to appear and to be heard, and (iv) all documents or writings which 24 the Objector desires the Court to consider. Such filings must be served upon the following counsel 25 26 by hand delivery, overnight mail, or the Court's electronic filing and service system:

27 28

C	ase 3:19-cv-00410-MMD-CSD Document 93-2 Filed 05/26/22 Page 10 of 11		
1 2 3 4 5 6	THE O'MARA LAW FIRM, P.C. David C. O'Mara (NV Bar 8599) 311 E. Liberty St. Reno, Nevada 89501 Tel: (775) 323-1321 david@omaralaw.netDICKINSON WRIGHT PLLC Michael N. Feder (NV Bar 7332) 3883 Howard Hughes Pkwy., Suite 800 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Tel: (702) 550-4440 mfeder@dickinson-wright.comAttorneys for PlaintiffAttorneys for DefendantsAny Person who fails to object in the manner prescribed above shall be deemed to have		
7	•		
	waived such objection (meruding me right to appear), ameas are court in its another and		
8	objection to be heard at the Settlement Hearing, and shall lolevel be barred from faising such		
9	objection in the Derivative Action or any other action or proceeding or otherwise contesting the		
10 11	Stipulation or the Fee and Expense Amount, and will otherwise be bound by the Final Judgment to		
12	be entered and the releases to be given.		
13	WE NOW DO LOFT ADDITIONAL INFORMATIONS		
14	This Notice summarizes the Stipulation. It is not a complete statement of the events of the		
15	Derivative Action or the Stipulation. For additional information about the Derivative Action and		
16	the Settlement, please refer to the documents filed with the Court and the Stipulation. You may		
17	examine the Court files during regular business hours of each business day at the office of the Clerk		
18	of Court for the United States District Court for the District of Nevada, Bruce R. Thompson Federal		
19 20	Courthouse, 400 S. Virginia St., Reno, Nevada, 89501. The Clerk's office will not mail copies of		
21	documents to you. For more information concerning the Settlement, you may also write, call, or		
22	email Plaintiff's Counsel at: Newman Ferrara LLP, c/o Jeffrey M. Norton, 1250 Broadway, 27th		
23	Fl., New York, New York 10001; Telephone: (212) 619-5400; or email <u>inorton@nfllp.com</u> .		
24 25	NOTICE TO PERSONS OR ENTITIES HOLDING RECORD OWNERSHIP ON BEHALF OF OTHERS		
26 27	Within fourteen (14) business days after the entry of the Scheduling Order, Ring shall mail		
28	or cause to be mailed the Notice to all record Ring stockholders at their respective addresses		

ľ

currently set forth in Ring's stock records. All record holders who were not also the beneficial owners of the shares of Ring common stock held by them of record shall be requested to forward the Notice to the beneficial owners of those shares. The Company shall use reasonable efforts to give notice to such beneficial owners by: (i) making additional copies of the Notice available to any record holder who, prior to the Settlement Hearing, requests the same for distribution to beneficial owners, or (ii) mailing or causing to be mailed additional copies of the Notice to beneficial owners as reasonably requested by record holders who provide names and addresses for such beneficial holders. In addition, the Company shall use reasonable efforts to give notice to all beneficial owners of Ring's stock by posting a copy of the Notice on the Company's website. PLEASE DO NOT WRITE OR CALL THE COURT OR THE CLERK OF COURT FOR THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA REGARDING THIS NOTICE. BY ORDER OF THE COURT Dated: United States District Judge - 10 -